In the Supreme Court of Newfoundland and Labrador CR FORM 9.04

	Supreme Court File #:	CR
BETWEEN:		
HIS MAJESTY THE KING v.		
	(name of accused)	

PRE-TRIAL REPORT

NOTE:

- 1. This form must be completed in all cases and signed by the assigned prosecutor, or a prosecutor authorized to bind the Crown, and by counsel of record for each accused person. An accused person who is not represented by counsel is not required to complete this form unless ordered to do so.
- 2. The parties are encouraged to discuss the issues to be addressed at the pre-trial conference/case management conference in advance of the conference.
- 3. The parties must provide their position on each issue, and not indicate "will advise", or "not as yet", etc.
- 4. The parties must jointly complete this form and fax or deliver it to the other parties and the court in accordance with the Rules.
- 5. If the parties cannot agree on the contents of this report, the parties shall outline the points of difference between them, sign the report and submit it to the court within the time set out in the Rules.
- 6. The information that is provided in this report and at the pre-trial conference/case management conference does not bind the parties, however, it shall be given in good faith and shall be as accurate as possible at the time it is given.
- 7. If any party changes a position taken on this form or a position taken during the pre-trial conference/case management conference, he or she must provide written notice to the other party and the court of the change in position, in addition to any notice required by the Rules.
- 8. If counsel do not indicate either on this form or at the pre-trial conference/case management conference that an application will be brought, the presumption is that no applications will be heard. The failure to notify the other side and the court of any application either on this form or during the conference will be a factor considered by the trial judge in determining whether the new position has prejudiced the other party and whether any application may proceed.
- 9. The prosecutor must attach a brief synopsis of the allegations to this form.

This report has been prepared by: Prosecutor: _____ (name, address, telephone number and fax number) Accused/Counsel for the Accused: (name of both accused and counsel where applicable, address, telephone number and fax number) Have the parties discussed the issues raised in this form after the committal for trial? Yes □ No □ **Charges:** 1. Chronology (a) Date(s) of Offence(s): (b) Date of Arrest: (c) Date of Committal for Trial: _____ (d) Date Indictment filed: (e) Date Information sworn: (f) 30 months from date Information sworn: 2. Form of Judicial Interim Release (a) Is the accused detained in custody on this/these charge(s)? Yes \square No \square (b) Is the accused detained in custody on any other charges? Yes \square No \square **3. Preliminary Inquiry:** Waived? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\) Length: ______ Transcript: Available ______ Date Ordered _____

4. Disclosure	: Complete? Yes □ No □
(a)	Outstanding issues:
(b)	Lost/destroyed:
(c)	Withheld/delayed:
5. Mode of T	rial: Current Election: Judge and jury □ Judge alone □
(a)	Will there be a re-election to be tried by: Judge and jury? ☐ Judge alone? ☐
(b)	Will the prosecutor consent? Yes □ No □
(c)	Additional comments regarding re-elections:
	Will there be a request for alternate jurors or to have more than 12 jurors sworn in ant to s. 631(2.1) or (2.2)? Yes □ No □ Challenge for cause: Yes □ No □ (i) Parks Yes □ No □ (ii) Publicity Yes □ No □ (iii) Other
(f) Do	es the accused have or seek an order under s. 530 that his/her trial be held in French
or as a	bilingual trial? Yes \square No \square
(g) Do	best he prosecutor oppose or dispute the order? Yes \square No \square
6. Pre-Trial A	Applications on the Indictment
(a) Qu	ash committal for trial Yes □ No □
(b) Qu	ash indictment Yes \(\square\) No \(\square\)
(c) Qu	ash a count(s) in indictment:
	(i) relying on s. $581(1)$ Yes \square No \square

(ii) relying on	s. 581(3)	Yes \square	No □		
(d) Sever count(s) in	indictment	Yes \square	l No □		
(e) Sever accused	Yes 🗖	No 🗖			
(f) Particulars	Yes □ No □	1			
(g) Change of venue	Yes 🗖	No 🗖			
(h) Amendment(s)	Yes 🗖	No 🗖			
(i) Other	Yes □ No □)			
-Trial Applications -	- Prosecutor				
Statements of the Ac	ccused				
(a) Is the prosecutor s	seeking to obtain	rulings as to	the admissibil	lity of state	ments t
persons in authority?	Yes 🗖	No 🗖			
(b) Brief summary of	f circumstances s	urrounding ta	king statemen	ıt:	
(c) Is the prosecutor s(d) Is the prosecutor s(e) Does the accused(f) Brief summary of	seeking only a ru dispute admissib	lling as to adm pility?	nissibility? Yes 📮 No		No □
(g) Form of statemen (h) If the statement is			Audiotaped a transcript?		•
(h) If the statement is	s audio or video t	aped, is there	a transcript?	Yes 🗖	No □
	s audio or video t available, will the	aped, is there e prosecutor p	a transcript?	Yes 🗖	No □
(h) If the statement is(i) If no transcript is a	s audio or video t available, will the Yes No	aped, is there e prosecutor p	a transcript?	Yes advance of	No □
(h) If the statement is	s audio or video t available, will the Yes No vailable:	aped, is there e prosecutor p	a transcript?	Yes advance of	No □

	(m) Voir dire	issues:	Reci	pient as a	person i	n authori	ity Y	es 🗆	No []
			Volu	ntariness			Y	es 🗆	No [3
			s. 10	(a)			Y	es 🗆	No [3
			s. 10	(b)			Y	es 🗆	No [3
			s. 7				Y	es 🗆	No [3
	(n) Number o	f witnesses to	be calle	ed on voir	dire: Pr	osecutor		Acc	used _	
	(o) Time estin	nate for <i>voir a</i>	lire:		Evidenc	<u>ee</u>		<u> 1</u>	Argum	<u>ent</u>
				Prosecuto	or		Pro	secuto	r	
				Accuse	d		A	ccused	l	
	(p) If there is	more than one	e issue,	do counse	l agree t	hat a ble	ended vo	oir dire	is	
	appropriate?	Prosecutor:	Yes 🗖	No 🗖	1	Accused	: Yes	□ No	o 🗖	
8. Otł	ner Disreputab	ole Conduct E	Evidenc	e, includi	ng Simil	lar Fact				
	-	ecutor seeking		•	C			evidenc	e, rely	ing on
	incidents not	covered by the	e indicti	ment?	Yes 🗖	No 🗆				
	(b) Nature of	evidence:								
	·									
	(c) Does the p	prosecutor see	k to hav	e admissi	bility de	termined	d in pre-	trial ap	plicati	on?
				Yes 🗖	No 🗖					
	(d) If the pros	secutor does n	ot seek	to obtain a	ı ruling l	before th	e trial s	tarts, w	hen do	oes the
	prosecutor wi	sh to have the	voir di	re?						
	(e) Does the a	accused disput	e admis	sibility?	•	Yes 🗖	No 🗖			
	(f) How does	the prosecuto	r seek to	o introduc	e the evi	dence or	n the vo	ir dire	·	
	(i) Viv	va voce eviden	ce		Yes 🗖	No 🗖				
	(ii) Ag	greed statemer	nt of fac	ts	Yes 🗖	No 🗖				
	(iii) W	itness statem	ents		Yes 🗖	No 🗖				
	(iv) Ti	ranscripts			Yes 🗖	No 🗖				
	(g) Does the a	accused conse	nt to the	e manner i	n which	the pros	secutor s	seeks to	introc	luce
	the evidence	on the voir dir	·e?	Yes 🗖	No □)				

(i) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	<u>Evidenc</u>	<u>e Argun</u>
Pr	rosecutor	Prosecutor
	Accused	Accused
(j) Is the prosecutor seeking to rely or	disreputable co	onduct evidence, relying onl
counts in the indictment? Yes \Box	No 🗖	
cipled Exception to the Hearsay Ru	le	
(a) Does the prosecutor seek to introd	uce any evidenc	ce relying upon the principle
exception to the hearsay rule?	Yes 🗖	No 🗖
(b) If so, what is the nature of the evic	dence?	
	-	et/probative value Yes 🗖
(i) <i>Viva voce</i> evidence	Yes \Box	
(ii) Agreed statement of facts	Yes 🗆	No 🗖
(iii) Witness statements	Yes 🗖	No 🗖
(iv) Transcripts	Yes 🗖	No 🗖
(f) Does the accused consent to the m	anner in which	the prosecutor seeks to intro
evidence on the <i>voir dire</i> ? Yes	No 🗖	
(g) Comments:		
(h) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evidenc	<u>e</u> <u>Argun</u>

	Accused		Accused
ter the Fact Conduct Evidence			
(a) Will the prosecutor be seeking	g to tender any evide	nce of afte	er the fact conduct by
accused? Yes □ N	No 🗖		
(b) If so, what is the nature of the	evidence?		
(c) Does the accused contest adm	issibility? Yes □	No 🗖	
(d) How does the prosecutor prop	_		on the <i>voir dire</i> ?
(i) Viva voce evidence	Yes 🗖	No 🗆	
(ii) Agreed Statement of f	acts Yes \square	No 🗖	
(iii) Witness statements	Yes 🗖	No 🗆	
(iv) Transcripts	Yes 🗖	No 🗆	
(e) Does the accused consent to the	ne manner in which	the prosec	utor seeks to introduce
evidence on the <i>voir dire</i> ? Yes	s 🗆 No 🗖	-	
(f) Comments:			
(f) Comments:			
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evidence		Argument
		<u>e</u>	
	<u>Evidenc</u>	<u>e</u>	Argument
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evidence Prosecutor Accused	<u>e</u>	Argument Prosecutor
(g) Time estimate for voir dire:	Evidence Prosecutor Accused	<u>e</u> 	Argument Prosecutor Accused
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> : tercepted Private Communicatio (a) Does the prosecutor seek to in	Evidence Prosecutor Accused ns troduce wiretap evidence	e —— dence?	Argument Prosecutor Accused Yes □ No □
(g) Time estimate for voir dire:	Evidence Prosecutor Accused ns troduce wiretap evidence	e —— dence?	Argument Prosecutor Accused Yes □ No □
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> : tercepted Private Communicatio (a) Does the prosecutor seek to in	Evidence Prosecutor Accused ns troduce wiretap evidence	e —— dence?	Argument Prosecutor Accused Yes □ No □
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> : tercepted Private Communicatio (a) Does the prosecutor seek to in	Evidence Prosecutor Accused ns troduce wiretap evidence	e —— dence?	Argument Prosecutor Accused Yes □ No □
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> : tercepted Private Communicatio (a) Does the prosecutor seek to in	Evidence Prosecutor Accused ns stroduce wiretap evid	e	Argument Prosecutor Accused Yes No

(e) Authorization: Consent, s Other:		orization, s. 186 🗖
(f) Issue to be litigated: fac Other:	•	•
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	<u>Evidence</u>	Argume
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor
	Accused	Accused
(b) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	<u>Evidence</u>	Argume
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor
mpetency of Witnesses		
(a) Does the prosecutor/accused i	Accusedintend to call any witness	Accused
(a) Does the prosecutor/accused i	Accusedintend to call any witness	Accused who is under the age of 1
(a) Does the prosecutor/accused in Yes	Accusedintend to call any witness No □ intend to challenge the ca	Accused who is under the age of 1
(a) Does the prosecutor/accused in Yes (b) Does the prosecutor/accused in basis of mental capacity? Yes	Accusedintend to call any witness No □ intend to challenge the calls □ No □	Accused who is under the age of 1 pacity of any witness on
(a) Does the prosecutor/accused in Yes (b) Does the prosecutor/accused in Yes (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)	Accusedintend to call any witness No □ intend to challenge the calls □ No □	Accused who is under the age of a pacity of any witness on
Yes U 19 (b) Does the prosecutor/accused in basis of mental capacity? Yes	Accusedintend to call any witness No □ intend to challenge the calls □ No □	Accused who is under the age of pacity of any witness on

14. Manner in which Evidence is to be Introduced

(a) Does the prosecutor or accused seek to	have any witness's evidence introduced:	
(i) by video link, pursuant to s. 714	4.1? Yes □ No □	
(ii) by reading in evidence previou	usly taken pursuant to s. 715? Yes 🗖 No 🕻	٥
(iii) by videotaped evidence, pursu	ant to s. 715.1? Yes □ No □	
(iv) as vulnerable witness? Yes	□ No □	
, ,	a screen or outside the courtroom pursuant to s	s.
486.2? Yes □ No □	•	
(v) other means? Yes □ No	Details:	
		_
		_
(b) Does the opposing party consent to the	e admissibility of the evidence in the manner	
proposed? Yes \(\begin{array}{ccccc} Yes \(\beta\) No \(\beta\)	•	
(c) If opposed, estimated time for:	Evidence Argument	
	cutor Prosecutor	
	used Accused	
15. Support Person		
••	quire a support person, pursuant to s. 486.1(1)?	,
Yes No	(2).	
Details:		
Details.		
Does the accused oppose the order?	Yes □ No □	
16. Publication Bans/Deferred Publication Ord	ders	
(a) Does the prosecutor seek an order purs	suant to s. 486.4 or s. 486.5 of the Criminal	
Code? Yes □ No □		
(b) Does the accused oppose the order?	Yes □ No □	
(c) If opposed, estimated time for:	Evidence Argument	
Prosec	cutor Prosecutor	
Acci	used Accused	
(d) Does either party seek other publication	on bans or deferred publication orders?	

Provide det				e is the order sought? ing of hearing, time e	
hearing:					
(f) Does the	e other party oppose	the applicati	on? Yes	□ No □	
	1 1 11			eparate time estimate	for
argument:		e:		Argument:	
vilege Issu	es				
(a) Will the	e prosecutor be raising	ng issues of p	orivilege?	Yes 🗖	No 🗖
(b) Will the	e accused be raising	issues of priv	vilege?	Yes □ No □	
(c) If yes, p	olease specify the na	ture of evide	nce and issue	of privilege:	
(d) Basis u	pon which the party	seeking adm	ission relies:		
(a) How do	es the party claimin	g privilege so	eek to introdu	ace the evidence on the	ne <i>voit</i>
(e) How do	Viva voce evidence	Yes \square	l No □		
			No □		
(i) V	Agreed statement of	f fact Yes 🗆	110		
(i) V (ii)	Agreed statement of Witness statements				
(i) V (ii) (iii)			No □		
(i) V (ii) (iii) (iv)	Witness statements Transcripts	Yes □ Yes □	No □ No □	he evidence will be in	ntrodu
(i) V (ii) (iii) (iv)	Witness statements Transcripts e other party consen	Yes □ Yes □	No D No D ner in which t	he evidence will be in	ntrodu

(h) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evidence	<u>Argument</u>
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor
	Accused	Accused
re-trial Applications – Accused		
(a) Challenge to Legislation:		
Specifics of challenge:		
(b) Does the prosecutor oppose the	ne application?	Yes 🗆 No 🖵
(c) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	<u>Evidence</u>	<u>Argument</u>
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor
	Accused	Accused
oplications to Stay Proceedings b	ased upon:	
(a) Abuse of Process \Box		
(b) Contravention of <i>Charter</i> sect	tion: s. 7	
(c) s. $11(b)$		
(d) Other		
(e) Overview of the position of the	e accused:	
(f) Overview of the position of th	e prosecutor:	
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> (e	vidence and argument):	
Prosecutor	Accused	

20. Applic	cations to Exc	clude Evidence b	ased upon s	s. 24(2) allegir	ng breaches	of:	
(a)	s. 7						
. ,	s. 8 📮	warrantless sea	ırch 🗆 sea	rch warrant 🗆	order 🗆	authorization	
		Issue: facial				execution \square	
		Other:	•		· ·		
		<u> </u>					
	s. 9 🗖	s. 10(a)	s. 100	 b) □			
		5. 10(a) -					
	omer.						
(b)	Overview of	the position of th	e accused:				
(0)	Overview of	the position of th	e decused				
							
(c)	Overview of	the position of th					
(0)	Overview of	the position of th	e prosecuto				
							
							
) Evidence so	ught to be exclud	ed:				
(u)	LVIdence 30	ugiit to be exerud	cu				
							
(e)	Nature of bre	each:					
(0)	raduc of ofe	each:					
(f)	Time estimat	te for <i>voir dire</i> :		Evidence_		Argument	
			Prosecuto	or	Prose	ecutor	
			Accuse	d		cused	
21. Third	Party Record	ds Applications	Yes 🗖	No 🗖			
(a)	Relying upon	n: <i>Mills</i> , s. 27	8.2 Yes □	No □	O'Conno	or Yes 🗖 N	о П
(b)	Nature of Re	cords:					

	<u>Evidence</u>	<u>Argument</u>
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor
	Accused	Accused
(d) How long prior to trial does the	ne applicant propose the app	olication be heard?
idence of the Complainant's Pri	or Sexual Activity – s. 276	Yes □ No □
(a) Nature of evidence:		
(b) Manner in which the accused	seeks to establish evidentia	ry basis on application:
(c) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	<u>Evidence</u>	Argumen
(c) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evidence Prosecutor	_
(c) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :		Prosecutor
(c) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> : idence of Other Suspects	Prosecutor	Prosecutor
	Prosecutor	ProsecutorAccused
idence of Other Suspects	Prosecutor	Prosecutor Accused
idence of Other Suspects	Prosecutor	Prosecutor Accused
idence of Other Suspects	Prosecutor	ProsecutorAccused
idence of Other Suspects	Prosecutor	Argument Prosecutor Accused
idence of Other Suspects	ProsecutorAccused	ProsecutorAccused

(iii) Witness statement	facts Yes U No L		
` '	Yes 🗖 No 🗖	1	
(iv) Other:			
(c) Does the prosecutor oppose a	admissibility? Yes	No 🗖	
(d) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evidence	Argument	
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor	
	Accused	Accused	
aracter of Victim			
(a) Nature of Evidence:			
(b) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evidence	<u>Argument</u>	
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor	
	Accused	Accused	
her Legal Issues the Accused A	_		
(b) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	<u>Evidence</u>	Argument	
	Prosecutor	Prosecutor	
	Accused	Accused	
tnesses			
tnesses 26.1 Witnesses to be called by	the Prosecutor		
tnesses 26.1 Witnesses to be called by (a) Names of witnesses to be cal			

(c) Field(s) of expertise:					
(d) Issues upon which the evidence	ce will be introd	uced:			
(e) Does the accused contest the a	dmissibility of t	he expert evidence	? Yes \square	No 🗆	
(f) Basis upon which admissibility	y of evidence co	ntested:			
Witness is not an expert					
Area of expertise requires	a voir dire				
Witness cannot give evide	ence sought				
(g) Comments:					
(h) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	<u>Evid</u>	<u>ence</u>	Argun	<u>ient</u>	
	Prosecutor	Pro	osecutor		
	Accused	A	Accused		
26.2 Witnesses to be called by the	ne Accused				
(a) Does the accused intend to cal	l expert witness	es?	Yes 🗖 No		
(b) Field of expertise:					
(c) Issues upon which the evidence	e will be introdu	uced:			
(d) Does the prosecutor contest th	e admissibility	of the expert evider	nce? Yes 🗖	No 🗆	
(e) Basis upon which admissibilit	y of evidence co	ontested:			
Witness is not an expert					
Area of expertise requires	a voir dire				
Witness cannot give evide	ence sought				
(f) Comments:					
(g) Time estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evid	<u>ence</u>	Argum	<u>ient</u>	
	Prosecutor	Pro	osecutor		

		Accused	Acc	used
Docition of	Accused in Court			
		h a v a 41n a a a a v a a d	:4 o4 o o o o o o o l 4 o b l o O	V D. N. D.
	there be an application to			Yes No
	s the prosecutor consent?	Yes 🗆	No 🗖	
(c) Will	the accused be shackled at	triai? Yes	No 🗖	
8. Absence of	Accused from Court			
(a) Will	there be an application for	the accused to be	absent from the tri	al, pursuant to
s. 650(2		o 🗖		
	es, what is the basis for the	application?		
. , ,				
Positions o	f the Parties			
(a) Pros				
	(i) Upon what evidentiary	hasis does the pro	secutor seek to esta	blich liability of
		basis does the pro	secutor seek to esta	onsh hadility of
1	each accused?			
	(ii) Upon which section(s)	of the Criminal C	ode does the prosec	cutor rely in order
	to establish the liability of	each accused?		
	(iii) Does the prosecutor so	ubmit any offences	s are included in the	e count(s) in the
:	indictment?			

(b) Accus	ed:				
	Does the accused submidictment?	it any offences	are included	in the count(s) ir	n the
(ii) What is the position of	the accused? _			
_					
_					
30. Fitness to Sta					
(a) Will th	he prosecutor raise the iss		ed's fitness to	o stand trial?	
		No 🗖			
(b) Will the	he accused raise the issue	of the accused	's fitness to s	tand trial? Yes	□ No □
(c) If raise	ed, will the application be	e opposed?	Yes 🗖	No 🗖	
(d) Time	estimate for <i>voir dire</i> :	Evid	<u>ence</u>	Argu	<u>ument</u>
		Prosecutor		Prosecutor	
		Accused		Accused	
31. Interpreters					
(a) Does t	the accused require an inte	erpreter?			
	Yes [□ No □			
(b) If yes,	for which language(s)? _				
(c) Do an	y of the prosecutor's witn	nesses require a	n interpreter?)	
	Yes [□ No □			
(d) If yes,	for which language(s)? _				
(e) Should	d two interpreters be requ	ired?		Yes 🗖 No	

32. Additional Courtroom Equipment rec	quired	
(a) Videoconferencing equipment	Yes 🗆	No 🖵
(b) Television and VCR	Yes 🗖	No 🖵
(c) Television and CD/DVD player	Yes 🗖	No 🖵
(d) Hearing devices	Yes 🗖	No 🖵
(e) Other:		
33. Courtroom and Witness Security Issu	ies	
(a) Does any party believe that incre	eased cour	troom security issues are raised in this
matter? Yes 🗖 No		
Details:		
(b) Will the prosecutor or a witness	make an a	application under s. 486.7 for an order for
the security of a witness?	Yes 🗆	No □
Details:		
34. Other Potential Legal Issues		
e ii otaar 1 oteatuu 20gui 1880ees		
35 Is it reasonably anticinated that any	of the fall	owing defences/triphle issues will be
35. Is it reasonably anticipated that any or raised?	71 ME 10110	owing detences, triable issues will be
Accident \square	I	dentity 🗖

Alibi 🗖	Intoxication	(Drugs \square	Alco	hol 🔲)	
Automatism	Knowledge □				
Compulsion	Necessity				
Defence of property	Not criminally responsible □				
Diminished capacity	Possession				
Duress 🗖	Provocation				
Entrapment \Box	Self-defence □				
Honest but mistaken belief in consent \square					
36. Non-Contentious Issues	Admitted	Not Contested		N/A	
Jurisdiction					
Identity of the accused					
Date of the offence					
Continuity of exhibits					
Medical evidence					
Documentary evidence					
Ownership					
Value of property					
Accused as driver					
Death/injuries caused by accused					
Expert's report					
Age of complainant					
Nature of drug					
Amount of drug is "for the purpose of traff	icking"				
Value of drug					
Service of notice					
Photographs					
37. Other factual, evidentiary or legal admission the accused:		Does the			
1		Ye	es 🗖	No 🗖	
2		Ye	es 🗆	No 🗆	

3		Yes		No 🗆
		**		
4		Yes	ш	No 🗖
5		Yes		No 🗖
38. Affidavit Evidence				
(a) Does the prosecutor intend to file affidavit evidence?	Yes		No	
(b) If so, please specify:				
(c) Will the accused seek leave to have the witness testify?	Yes	<u> </u>	No	
39. Notes				
(a) Does the prosecutor object to the jury taking notes during the t	rial?	Yes		No 🗖
(b) Does the accused object to the jury taking notes during the tria	1? Ye	es 🗖	N	o 🗖
40. Time Estimates				
a) Anticipated number of witnesses to be called by the prosecutor:				
b) Prosecutor's estimate for voir dires: Evidence Ar	gume	nt		
c) Prosecutor's time estimate for trial:				
d) Accused's time estimate for <i>voir dires</i> : Evidence	Argu	ment		
e) Accused's time estimate for trial:				
41. Disagreement on contents of this report:				
(a) Are there any points of disagreement between the parties on the	e cont	ents	of th	is
report? Yes \square No \square				
(b) If so, please specify:				

Dated

Signed by the Accused/Counsel for the Accused